

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/699,092	10/31/2003	David Allen Brown	8-1	2608	
7550 Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 90 Forest Avenue Locust Valley, NY 11560			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/699.092 BROWN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit HUSSEIN A. EL CHANTI 2157 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 March 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

 This action is responsive to application filed on Oct. 31, 2003. Claims 1-20 are pending examination.

Drawings

2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the drawings are informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ng et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0049564 (referred to hereafter as Ng) in view of Sato et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,665,268 (referred to hereafter as Sato).

As to claims 1 and 20, Ng teaches an apparatus and a method for use in a processor for controlling access of a plurality of processor clients to a plurality of 10/699,092 Art Unit: 2157

memory instances of an internal memory of the processor, the apparatus and method comprising:

an internal memory controller comprising a configurable switching element (see paragraph [0036-0038] and fig. 2, the VSX are connected between the servers and the storage devices);

the configurable switching element being connectable between the plurality of clients and the plurality of memory instances and being operative to control access of particular ones of the plurality of clients to particular ones of the plurality of memory instances (see paragraph [0036-0038] and fig. 2, the vsx controls access to the storage devices);

wherein the configurable switching element is configurable to connect any one of at least a subset of the plurality of clients to each of at least a subset of the plurality of memory instances, such that in a first selectable configuration of the configurable switching element, a given one of the processor clients is permitted to access a first set of memory instances comprising one or more of the plurality of memory instances, and in a second selectable configuration of the configurable switching element, the given processor client is permitted to access a second set of memory instances comprising one or more of the plurality of memory instances, the second set being different than the first set (see paragraph [0036-0039], multiple servers are connected to multiple storage devices).

Art Unit: 2157

Ng does not explicitly teach that the plurality of client are internal to the processor circuit. However, Sato teaches a processor element that includes a plurality of client processors and a plurality of storage memories (see col. 25-26 and fig. 9A-B). It would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ng by incorporating the storages and the clients on the same processing element as taught by Sato. Motivation to do so comes from the teachings of Sato that doing so would decrease the delays in communication between the client and the memory caused by the difference in transmission time caused by the physical distance between the devices (see Sato col. 2 lines 38-43).

As to claim 2, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the configurable switching element comprises a configurable crossbar having a first set of ports coupled to the plurality of processor clients and a second set of ports coupled to the plurality of memory instances (see paragraph [0040], the VSX comprises a plurality of ports that connects the servers to the storage devices).

As to claim 3, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the memory controller further comprises control circuitry operative to control selection of a particular configuration for the configurable switching element (see paragraph [0036-0038] and fig. 2).

As to claim 4, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 3 wherein the control circuitry further comprises an address control circuit (see paragraph [0030] and [0046]). As to claim 5, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 3 wherein the control circuitry further comprises a data multiplexing control circuit (see fig. 2).

As to claim 6, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the internal memory controller further comprises a configuration interface providing an interface between the configurable switching element and a configuration source external to the memory controller, the external configuration source providing to the memory controller information utilizable to control selection of a particular configuration for the configurable switching element (see paragraph [0066-0067]).

As to claim 7, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the plurality of processor clients comprises N processor clients, and the plurality of memory instances comprises M memory instances, where N need not be equal to M (see fig. 2).

As to claim 8, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 7 wherein N is less than M (see fig. 2).

As to claim 9, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the configurable switching element is configurable to connect any one of the plurality of processor clients to any set of memory instances comprising one or more of the plurality of memory instances (see paragraph [0066-0067])).

As to claim 10, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein for a given configuration of the configurable switching element, each of at least a subset of the

memory instances has one and only one of the processor clients assigned to it (see paragraph [0036-0038] and fig. 2).

As to claim 11, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein addresses are allocated to multiple memory instances associated with the given processor client in order of decreasing memory instance size(see paragraph [0035]).

As to claim 12, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein multiple memory instances associated with the given processor client have different sizes which are related to one another as multiples of two(see paragraph [0035]).

As to claim 13, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein a different set of mask bits is associated with each of a plurality of different memory instance sizes, and a different address decoder value is associated with each of the plurality of memory instances (see paragraph [0056-0057]).

As to claim 14, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 13 wherein address decoding logic applies the mask bits for a given memory instance to an incoming address from the given processor client, and compares the result to the address decoder value for the given memory instance to determine if the incoming address is directed to an address in the given memory instance (see paragraph [0056-0057]).

As to claim 15, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 14 wherein a decoded address is considered valid for the given processor client only if a master client identifier stored for the given memory instance specifies the given processor client (see paragraph [0056-0057]).

As to claim 16, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the processor is configured to provide an interface for communication of protocol data units between a network and a switch fabric (see paragraph [0057-0058]).

As to claim 17, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the processor comprises a network processor (see fig. 2).

As to claim 18, Ng teaches the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the processor is configured as an integrated circuit (see fig. 2).

- Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUSSEIN A. EL CHANTI whose telephone number is (571)272-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hussein Elchanti

June 17, 2008

/Ario Etienne/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2157